



US Department
of Transportation
**Research and
Special Programs
Administration**

400 Seventh Street S.W.
Washington D.C. 20590

FEB 11 2003

Mr Brian Jury
Vice President, Field Operations
Buckeye Pipeline Company
P O Box 368
Emmaus, PA 18049

Re CPF No 1-2002-5003M

Dear Mr Jury

Enclosed is the Order Directing Amendment issued by the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety in the above-referenced case. The Order finds that you have addressed the inadequacies in your procedures that were cited in the Notice of Amendment. Your receipt of the Order Directing Amendment constitutes service of that document under 49 C.F.R. § 190.5

This enforcement action is now closed

Sincerely,

Gwendolyn M Hill
Pipeline Compliance Registry
Office of Pipeline Safety

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF PIPELINE SAFETY
WASHINGTON, DC 20590

In the Matter of)
)
Buckeye Pipeline Company,)
)
Respondent)
_____)


CPF No 1-2002-5003M

ORDER DIRECTING AMENDMENT

On January 16-17, 2002, pursuant to 49 U S C § 60117, representatives of the Eastern and Central Regions, Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS), inspected Buckeye Pipeline Company's (Respondent's) integrity management program at Respondent's facility in Emmaus, Pennsylvania. As a result of the inspection, the Eastern Regional Director, OPS, issued to Respondent, by letter dated May 21, 2002, a Notice of Amendment (NOA). The NOA alleged inadequacies in Respondent's integrity management program and proposed to require amendment of Respondent's procedures to comply with the requirements of 49 C F R § 195.452(f)(1).

Respondent responded to the NOA by letter dated June 10, 2002 (Response). Respondent did not contest the NOA and did not request a hearing, consequently Respondent waived its right to one. However, Respondent submitted amended integrity management program procedures to address the inadequacies cited in the NOA. The Eastern Region reviewed the revised procedures. Accordingly, based on the results of this review, I find that Respondent's original integrity management program procedures as described in the NOA were inadequate to ensure safe operation of its pipeline system, but that Respondent has corrected the identified inadequacies. Respondent need not take any further action with respect to the matters in this case.

The terms and conditions of this Order Directing Amendment are effective upon receipt.



Stacey Gerard
Stacey Gerard
Associate Administrator
for Pipeline Safety

FEB 11 2003

Date Issued